

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

e-GFOS Journal is dedicated to conduct best practice on ethical matters. The prevention of malpractice in publication is one of the most important tasks for the editorial board. Unethical conduction of any kind is not allowed and e-GFOS will not tolerate any attempt of plagiarism. Authors of the manuscripts confirm that contents of their works is original.

This document is mainly based on the COPE Code of Conduct for Journal Editors (2011).

The responsibilities of editor:

1. Editor is responsible for everything published in the journal; for deciding which of the manuscripts submitted to the journal will be published. The evaluation of a manuscript by an editor will be made without regards to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnicity, citizenship, or political orientation. The decision will be based on the importance, clarity, originality and the validity of the studies for the relevance to the journal's scope. Legal requirements regarding libel, copyrighting, and plagiarism will be considered.
2. Editor must ensure that manuscript received is initially evaluated for the originality, using of appropriate tools. After this review, the manuscript will be forwarded to at least two reviewers who will make a recommendation to accept, reject, or modify the manuscript.
3. The information regarding manuscripts submitted by the authors is kept confidential.
4. The editor will not use unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript for his/her own research to avoid Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest.

Responsibilities of authors:

1. Authors should give an accurate account of the original research as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Manuscripts will respect the submission guidelines of the journal.
2. Authors must ensure that they have written entirely original work.
3. Authors who submit the manuscript to the e-GFOS are not allowed to submit the same manuscript to any other journal concurrently. It is expected that the author will not publish redundant or manuscripts describing the same research to avoid Multiple, Redundant, or Concurrent Publications.
4. Authors should cite publications that have been used in the research work and acknowledge all sources of data used.
5. Authorship must to be limited to persons who have made a significant contribution to the production of study reported in the paper. Other persons which had significant contribution have to be listed as co-authors. Authors also have to ensure that all the other authors see and agree to the submitted manuscript and their inclusion as co-authors.
6. Authors should provide raw data related to their manuscript for editorial review and must retain such data to ensure Data Access and Retention.
7. If at any moment, authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in submitted manuscript, then this error or inaccuracy have to be reported to the editor.

Responsibilities of Reviewers:

1. To information regarding manuscripts submitted the reviewer has to act as to confidential information and treated them as privileged.
2. Reviewers have to ensure that authors have acknowledged all sources used in the research. A similarity or overlap between the manuscripts under review with any published paper of which reviewer is aware of must be momentarily reported to the editor's notice.

-
3. Review of manuscripts must be objective and the reviewers have to state their opinion clearly with arguments to support it.

In case that the reviewer thinks that he can not complete the review of the manuscript in time he must inform the editor, so the manuscript could be appointed to another reviewer.